A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between HOTAIR polymorphisms and susceptibility to breast cancer

Bei Wang^{1,2}, Fenglai Yuan^{1,2}, Feng Zhang^{1,2}, Zongning Miao^{1,2}, Donglin Jiang^{1,2}

¹Department of Central Laboratory, The Hospital Affiliated to Jiangnan University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China

²Department of Central Laboratory, The Third Hospital Affiliated to Nantong University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China

Submitted: 4 June 2019; Accepted: 1 August 2019 Online publication: 27 August 2019

Arch Med Sci 2023; 19 (1): 128–137 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2019.87537 Copyright © 2019 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: Many studies are drawing attention to the associations of HOTAIR polymorphisms and susceptibility to breast cancer, while the results remain inconsistent. We conducted a meta-analysis on the association of four common HOTAIR polymorphisms with breast cancer susceptibility.

Material and methods: Eligible published articles were searched in PubMed, Embase, Cochrane library databases and Web of Science databases up to July 2019. Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were used to identify potential links between IncRNA HOTAIR polymorphisms and the risk of breast cancer.

Results: Our results showed no significance in all genetic models of all four SNPs. Pooled analyses detected crucial links between the rs1899663 polymorphism and decreased susceptibility to breast cancer in five genetic models rather than the dominant model in the hospital-based control subgroup. For the rs920778 polymorphism, we found that it significantly decreased breast cancer risk under recessive, homozygous and heterozygous models within the west Asian subgroup and increased breast cancer risk under allele and dominant models within the East Asian subgroup. Additionally, rs920778 polymorphism decreased breast cancer risk under recessive and heterozygous models in the hospital-based control subgroup. However, no significant association was observed between the rs4759314 polymorphism and breast cancer risk in overall and stratified analyses. For rs12826786 polymorphism, it was greatly associated with decreased breast cancer risk under recessive, homozygous models in the hospital-based control subgroup.

Conclusions: HOTAIR rs920778, rs1899663 and rs12826786 polymorphisms may contribute to breast cancer susceptibility.

Key words: breast cancer, HOTAIR, polymorphism, IncRNA, meta-analysis.

Introduction

Breast cancer occurs most among females, and is the main cause of cancer-related mortality in women all over the world [1]. The development of breast cancer is very complicated, and includes environmental and genetic elements. As one of the genetic variants, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are widely used to predict the disease risk, prognosis, and

Corresponding author:

Bei Wang Department of Central Laboratory The Third Hospital Affiliated to Nantong University Wuxi, Jiangsu 214041, China Phone: +86 0510-81196629 E-mail: xuewuhenwang@126. com



Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY -NC -SA 4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

Creative Commons licenses: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

clinical outcome [2, 3]. Accumulative investigations have found that SNPs in tumor oncogenes or suppressor genes could play a vital role in breast cancer genetic susceptibility [4–13]. Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are RNA molecules which exceed 200 nucleotides (nt), but they are unable to encode protein [14]. Growing evidence suggests that LncRNAs interact with DNA, RNA and protein so that they can regulate gene expression at transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels [15, 16].

HOX transcript antisense intergenic RNA (HOTAIR) is a non-coding RNA transcribed from the HOXC locus with an approximate length of 2.2 kb. The long arm of chromosome 12 (12q13.13) is where the HOTAIR gene is located. As an on-cogene, HOTAIR is crucial in gene and chromatin regulation, and it is persistently overexpressed in various cancers, involved in tumor invasion, and associated with bad prognosis of corresponding cancers [2, 3, 17–22].

Recently, the relations of HOTAIR SNPs with breast tumor risk have been investigated [19, 23– 25]. However, some conclusions remain controversial. Obviously, it is still necessary to further analyze the relation between HOTAIR SNPs and breast cancer susceptibility. Therefore, a meta-analysis of all eligible published case-control studies was conducted to assess the effect of four lncRNA HOTAIR SNPs (rs1899663, rs4759314, rs920778 and rs12826786) on breast cancer risk.

Material and methods

Search strategy and eligibility criteria

Electronic databases (Embase, PubMed, Cochrane Library databases, and Web of Science) were searched by us until July 16, 2019 using the following key words: "HOTAIR or HOX transcript antisense RNA or LncRNA HOTAIR" and "Polymorphism or variation or mutation or genotypes or SNP" and "breast cancer or breast tumor or breast carcinoma". In addition, a manual search was needed for references of relevant articles in order to achieve potential eligible publications. Studies were included in our meta-analysis if the following criteria were met: (1) case-control studies concerned with the association between HOTAIR polymorphisms and breast cancer, (2) published data related to the frequencies of alleles or genotypes must be sufficient, (3) all studies were published in English. Exclusion criteria included: (1) metaanalysis, reviews and letters, (2) no more than two studies assessed one LncRNA HOTAIR gene.

Data extraction and quality evaluation

Two investigators (Wang B and Yuan FL) independently extracted the following data from each publication: First author, Year, Racial descent, Country, Source of controls, Quantities of cases and controls, Genotype distributions of cases and controls. Diverse racial descendants were classified as West Asian and East Asian. Disputes were resolved by engaging a third investigator.

Statistical analysis

Crude odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were used to calculate and evaluate the strength of relations between lncRNA HOTAIR polymorphisms and breast cancer susceptibility. The pooled ORs were estimated according to five different comparison models (allele contrast, dominant, recessive, homozygous and heterozygous). The heterogeneity between each study was assessed with the χ^2 -based Q statistic test. If the *p*-value was less than 0.1, significant heterogeneity was found and the random effect (DerSimonian-Laird method) model was applied; otherwise, a fixed effect (Mantel-Haenszel method) model was used. In this meta-analysis, we went a step further to examine the ethnicities, source of controls and genotyping methods so that we could explore the source of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis was conducted through removing each study in turn to evaluate the stability of our results. Publication bias was evaluated by funnel plot and Egger's test. All data analyses were carried out using the Stata 12.0 software. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Study characteristics

Through searching the Embase, PubMed, Cochrane Library databases, and Web of Science using the key words, we obtained 12 articles which focused on the association between the LncRNA HOTAIR polymorphisms and susceptibility to breast cancer. According to Figure 1, in all, 4 studies with 4936 cases and 5214 controls conformed to the inclusion criteria and four HOTAIR SNPs were included in this meta-analysis [23–26]. The chief characteristics of three HOTAIR SNPs are shown in Table I. 1813 cases and 1904 controls were contained in 4 studies for rs1899663 and rs4759314 polymorphisms. As for the rs920778 polymorphism, there were 845 cases and 856 controls. As for rs12826786, there were 465 cases and 550 controls. Racial descent came from Asia. The main countries were Iran, China and Turkey. According to the source of control, all studies were defined as hospital-based or population-based. Genotype methods included PCR-RFLP. PCR-seguencer, TagMan, and CRS-RFLP. Four HOTAIR SNPs were extracted from all eligible studies. Genotype distributions of HOTAIR rs1899663, rs4759314, rs920778, rs12826786 are list-

Bei Wang, Fenglai Yuan, Feng Zhang, Zongning Miao, Donglin Jiang



Figure 1. Flowchart for selection of articles in this meta-analysis

Table I. Main characteristics of all included studies

SNPs	First author	Year	Racial descent	Country	Source of controls	Genotype methods	Cases	Controls
rs1899663	Hassanzarei	2017	West Asian	Iran	PB	PCR-RFLP	220	230
	Lin	2018	East Asian	China	HB	PCR-sequencer	969	970
	Yan	2015	East Asian	China	PB	PCR-RFLP	502	504
	Khorshidi	2017	West Asian	Iran	PB	ARMS-PCR	122	200
rs4759314	Hassanzarei	2017	West Asian	Iran	PB	PCR-RFLP	220	229
	Lin	2018	East Asian	China	HB	PCR-sequencer	969	970
	Yan	2015	East Asian	China	PB	PCR-RFLP	502	504
	Khorshidi	2017	West Asian	Iran	PB	ARMS-PCR	122	200
rs920778	Hassanzarei	2017	West Asian	Iran	PB	PCR-RFLP	220	231
	Yan	2015	East Asian	China	PB	CRS-RFLP	502	504
	Bayram	2015	West Asian	Turkey	HB	TaqMan	123	122
rs12826786	Hassanzarei	2017	West Asian	Iran	PB	PCR-RFLP	220	228
	Bayram	2015	West Asian	Turkey	HB	TaqMan	123	122
	Khorshidi	2017	West Asian	Iran	PB	ARMS-PCR	122	200

ed in Table II. Each has one study in three SNPs (rs1899663, rs4759314, rs920778) deviating from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

Quantitative synthesis

The main meta-analysis results are shown in Table III. Five different comparison models were used to evaluate the pooled ORs. No significance was found in all genetic models of all three SNPs. To go a step further, the data were stratified into different subgroups in the light of ethnicity, source of controls and genotyping methods. There was no significance in the subgroup analysis of rs4759314 polymorphism either (Figure 2, Table III). As for the rs1899663 polymorphism, there was no significance in the ethnicity subgroups. There was only one study about a hospital-based control subgroup. Increasing risks were found in the allele model, recessive model, homozygous model and heterozygous model with a hospital-based control subgroup (T vs. C: 1.22, 95% Cl: 1.03–1.44, p = 0.02; TT vs. CC + CT: 2.00, 95% Cl: 1.19–3.62, p = 0.008; TT vs. CC: 2.12, 95% Cl: 1.24–3.42, p =0.006; TT vs. CT: 1.9, 95% Cl: 1.08–2.95, p = 0.023) (Figure 2, Table III).

As for the rs920778 polymorphism, it was interesting to find that decreasing risks were observed in the West Asian subgroup under recessive, ho-

SNPs	First author						Genotype d	listribution						HWE test
	I			Cas	es					Cont	rols			
	1	ט	F	00	GT	F	MAF	ט	F	90	GT	F	MAF	
rs1899663	Hassanzarei	287	153	83	121	16	0.34	246	214	24	198	8	0.46	< 0.05
	Lin	1555	383	628	299	42	0.19	1614	326	665	284	21	0.17	0.14
	Yan	827	177	339	149	14	0.18	810	198	326	158	20	0.19	0.88
	Khorshidi	136	108	36	64	22	0.44	237	163	75	87	38	0.41	0.16
		A	ט	AA	AG	99	MAF	A	ט	AA	AG	99	MAF	
rs4759314	Hassanzarei	425	15	205	15	0	0.03	449	6	221	7	1	0.02	< 0.05
	Lin	1759	179	801	157	11	0.09	1778	162	817	144	6	0.08	0.35
	Yan	952	52	451	50	1	0.05	950	58	448	54	2	0.06	0.79
	Khorshidi	216	28	96	24	2	0.11	345	55	148	49	e	0.14	0.64
		т	υ	Ħ	TC	U U	MAF	н	υ	F	TC	U U	MAF	
rs920778	Hassanzarei	255	185	68	119	33	0.42	348	114	125	98	8	0.25	< 0.05
	Yan	829	175	339	151	12	0.17	782	226	296	190	18	0.22	0.06
	Bayram	132	114	40	52	31	0.46	148	96	41	66	15	0.39	0.14
		υ	-	S	G	F	MAF	υ	F	UU	ь	F	MAF	
rs12826786	Hassanzarei	114	326	17	80	123	0.26	212	244	45	122	61	0.46	0.25
	Bayram	135	111	42	51	30	0.45	152	92	44	64	14	0.38	0.19
-	Khorshidi	133	111	37	59	26	0.45	212	188	61	90	49	0.47	0.17

Variable	Z	Allele m	bdel		Dominant r	nodel		Recessive I	model		Homozygous	model		Heterozygou	s mode	1
		OR (95% CI)	Pa	å	OR (95% CI)	Pa	đ	OR (95% CI)	Pa B	å	OR (95% CI)	Ра	đ	OR (95% CI)	Pa	đ
rs1899663:																
Overall	4	0.94 (0.69–1.27)	0	0.67	0.75 (0.39–1.41)	0	0.37	1.29 (0.74–2.24)	0.04	0.37	1.07 (0.58–1.95)	0.03	0.42	1.34 (0.70–2.56)	0.01	0.37
Ethnicity:																
West Asian	2	0.84 (0.45–1.56)	0.003	0.57	0.53 (0.07–3.77)	0	0.52	1.34 (0.59–3.03)	0.12	0.48	0.91 (0.45–1.84)	0.22	0.80	1.55 (0.38-6.25)	0.009	0.54
East Asian	2	1.04 (0.75-1.44)	0.02	0.79	1.04 (0.78–1.38)	0.07	0.81	1.22 (0.42-3.52)	0.02	0.71	1.22 (0.40–3.75)	0.01	0.73	1.22 (0.49–3.07)	0.04	0.67
Source of control:																
PB	m	0.85 (0.61–1.18)	0.01	0.32	0.63 (0.23–1.73)	0	0.37	1.06 (0.59–1.91)	0.12	0.85	0.83 (0.54–1.30)	0.35	0.42	1.19 (0.51–2.79)	0.02	0.69
HB	-	1.22 (1.04–1.44)	NA	0.017	1.18 (0.98–1.43)	NA	0.08	2.05 (1.20-3.48)	NA	0.008	2.12 (1.24–3.62)	NA	0.006	1.90 (1.09–3.29)	NA	0.02
rs4759314:																
Overall	4	1.04 (0.88-1.24)	0.32	0.63	1.05 (0.87–1.27)	0.24	0.61	1.02 (0.50-2.10)	0.81	0.96	1.02 (0.49–2.10)	0.62	0.96	0.95 (0.45–1.99)	0.67	0.89
Ethnicity:																
West Asian	2	0.99 (0.66–1.49)	0.12	0.97	1.17 (0.46–2.98)	0.07	0.74	0.79 (0.17–3.74)	0.54	0.77	0.77 (0.16–3.63)	0.58	0.74	0.76 (0.15–3.62)	0.27	0.73
East Asian	2	1.06 (0.87–1.28)	0.33	0.58	1.06 (0.86–1.30)	0.37	0.59	1.09 (0.48–2.49)	0.62	0.83	1.11 (0.49–2.52)	0.62	0.81	1.02 (0.44–2.37)	0.58	0.97
Source of control:																
PB	m	0.94 (0.71–1.24)	0.28	0.66	0.95 (0.71–1.28)	0.18	0.74	0.69 (0.19–2.54)	0.78	0.58	0.68 (0.18–2.48)	0.81	0.56	0.69 (0.19–2.55)	0.52	0.57
HB	-	1.12 (0.89–1.39)	NA	0.33	1.12 (0.88–1.42)	NA	0.35	1.23 (0.51-2.97)	NA	0.65	1.25 (0.51-3.03)	NA	0.63	1.12 (0.45–2.78)	NA	0.81
rs920778:																
Overall	m	1.16 (0.73-1.84)	0	0.53	1.23 (0.51–2.97)	0	0.64	1.98 (0.65–6.03)	0.001	0.23	2.09 (0.49–8.76)	0	0.31	1.95 (0.85-4.48)	0.03	0.12
Ethnicity:																
West Asian	2	1.42 (0.99–2.04)	0.01	0.06	1.70 (0.69–4.18)	0.006	0.25	3.33 (1.65–6.72)	0.18	0.001	3.96 (1.13–13.85)	0.03	0.03	2.94 (1.71-5.03)	0.64	0
East Asian	1	0.78 (0.65–0.93)	NA	0.005	0.68 (0.53–0.89)	NA	0.004	0.66 (0.32–1.39)	NA	0.27	0.58 (0.28–1.23)	NA	0.16	0.84 (0.39–1.79)	NA	0.65
Source of control:																
PB	2	1.15 (0.53–2.49)	0	0.70	1.33 (0.36-4.50)	0	0.67	1.79 (0.25–12.91)	0	0.56	2.09 (0.17-25.96)	0	0.57	1.67 (0.42–6.62)	0.01	0.46
HB		1.18 (0.96–1.45)	NA	0.12	1.05 (0.62–1.79)	NA	0.86	2.40 (1.22-4.73)	NA	0.01	2.12 (0.99–4.51)	ΝA	0.05	2.62 (1.28-5.37)	NA	0.008
rs12826786:																
Overall	m	1.48 (0.82–2.67)	0	0.20	1.45 (0.77–2.75)	0.01	0.25	1.94 (0.78-4.83)	0	0.15	2.19 (0.74–6.5)	0	0.16	1.89 (0.79–4.49)	0	0.15
Source of control:																
PB	2	1.53 (0.59–3.97)	0	0.38	1.70 (0.59–4.84)	0.006	0.32	1.72 (0.43–6.97)	0	0.45	2.16 (0.37-12.71)	0	0.39	1.60 (0.43–5.93)	0	0.48
HB	1	1.36 (0.95–1.95)	AN	0.10	1.09 (0.64–1.84)	NA	0.75	2.49 (1.25–4.97)	NA	0.01	2.25 (1.05-4.81)	ΝA	0.04	2.69 (1.29–5.56)	AN	0.008
ж – value of Q-test for het	erogenı	eity test, P ^b < 0.05 was	conside.	red stati	stically significant.											

Table III. Summary ORs and 95% CI of HOTAIR polymorphisms and breast cancer risk

mozygous and heterozygous models (TT vs. CC + CT: 3.33, 95% CI: 1.65–6.72, p = 0.001; TT vs. CC: 3.96, 95% CI: 1.13–13.85, *p* = 0.03; TT vs. CT: 2.94, 95% CI: 1.71–5.03, p < 0.0001). Meanwhile, increasing risks were found in the East Asian subgroup under allele and dominant models (T vs. C: 0.78, 95% CI: 0.65–0.93, *p* = 0.005; CT + TT vs. CC: 0.68, 95% CI: 0.53–1.89, p = 0.004). Additionally, the associations between HOTAIR and the breast cancer risk were found to be significant in the hospital-based control subgroup (TT vs. CC + CT: 2.4, 95% CI: 1.22-4.73, p = 0.01; TT vs. CT: 2.62, 95% CI: 1.28–5.37, p = 0.008) (Figure 2, Table III).

descent was all from west Asia. Similarly to the

rs1899663 polymorphism, there was also one study about a hospital-based control subgroup. Increasing risks were found in the recessive model, homozygous model and heterozygous model with the hospital-based control subgroup (TT vs. CC + CT: 2.49, 95% CI: 1.25–4.97, *p* = 0.01; TT vs. CC: 2.25, 95% CI: 1.05–4.81, p = 0.038; TT vs. CT: 2.69, 95% CI: 1.29–5.56, p = 0.008) (Figure 2, Table III).

Publication bias and sensitivity analysis

Egger's test and Begg's funnel plot were used so that we could evaluate the potential publication bias of the studied literature. No obvious evidence of publication bias was detected in in-



Figure 2. Forest plot of the association between HOTAIR polymorphisms and breast cancer risk. A - rs1899663 in allele model; B - rs4759314 in allele model

As for the rs12826786 polymorphism, racial

Bei Wang, Fenglai Yuan, Feng Zhang, Zongning Miao, Donglin Jiang



Figure 2. Cont. C – rs920778 in recessive model; D – rs12826786 in heterozygous model

terleukin-1 β (rs1899663, rs4759314, rs920778 and rs12826786) (Figure 3). Also, it showed good results in the sensitivity analysis (Figure 4).

Discussion

HOTAIR expression has been considered to be a new prognostic biomarker for primary breast cancer. GEPIA database (http://gepia.cancer-pku. cn/index.html) analysis based on data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) showed that HOTAIR expression only existed in the mammary gland of BR patients. Meanwhile, the HOTAIR mRNA levels in the BR patients were significantly highly than in the controls. Subsequently, the circlncRNAnet database CirclncRNAnet (http://app.cgu.edu.tw/circlnc/) showed that lncRNA HOTAIR in BR patients was 4 times higher than in the normal patients and interacted with numerous miRNAs.

Emerging studies have concentrated on links between HOTAIR polymorphisms (rs1899663, rs4759314, rs920778 and rs12826786) and cancer risk. Meanwhile, several meta-analyses investigated the relations of HOTAIR polymorphisms and cancer risk [27–33]; however, none of them have focused on the breast cancer risk independently due to lack of eligible data and some results remain confusing. For example, Qi *et al.* reported that the HOTAIR rs920778 polymorphism increased cancer risk under allele and recessive models in overall analyses while Tian *et al.* failed to observe significant associations between them



Figure 3. Begg's funnel plot analysis of publication bias. A – rs1899663; B – rs4759314; C – rs920778; D – rs12826786



[29, 33]. Zhang et al. reported that the rs920778 polymorphism was relevant to cancer susceptibility in Asian population but not in Turks [30]. Chu et al. summarized the results indicating that the rs920778 polymorphism could increase cancer risks under a recessive model [31]. Liu et al. detected the rs920778 polymorphism, finding that it was closely related to increased cancer risk in all genetic models, but no significant association between rs1899663 polymorphism and cancer risk was observed [32]. Li's meta-analysis revealed a significant association between HOTAIR rs4759314 and susceptibility to breast cancer; however, we failed to corroborate that in our meta-analysis [34]. Therefore, a meta-analysis was needed.

To our knowledge, it is for the first time that such a meta-analysis has been used to evaluate the linkages between LncRNA HOTAIR polymorphisms and breast cancer susceptibility in overall and stratified analyses. Generally, our results showed no major relations of HOTAIR (rs1899663, rs4759314, rs920778 and rs12826786) polymorphisms and breast cancer risk. In terms of rs4759314 polymorphism, similar results with no significant association were found in subgroup analyses. As for rs1899663 polymorphism, there was decreasing breast cancer risk in the hospital-based control subgroup. As for the rs920778 polymorphism, in terms of ethnicity subgroup analysis, it was interesting to find that the results of West Asia and East Asia were contrary. As for rs12826786, it decreased breast cancer risk in the hospital-based control subgroup.

Although we found that LncRNA HOTAIR polymorphisms were closely related to breast cancer risk in our meta-analysis, there are some limitations to our study that should be taken into consideration. First, the sample size is relatively small in subgroup analysis. Second, only Asians were included in our meta-analysis, which will produce publication bias. Third, in one study on each of three SNPs (rs1899663, rs4759314, rs920778) of HOTAIR the data deviate from HWE. Finally, further analysis was limited by lack of detailed information and original data.

In conclusion, our current meta-analysis found significant links of HOTAIR polymorphisms and the breast cancer risk among Asian people, suggesting that HOTAIR rs920778, rs1899663 and rs12826786 polymorphisms may contribute to breast cancer susceptibility. In future, more comprehensive studies and a large number of samples are needed to verify this association.

Acknowledgments

We give thanks to Miss Dandan Chen for providing language help.

This research was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No. 31801171), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019M650102) and Wuxi Commission of Health and Family Planning (No. Q201829).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2015; 65: 87-108.
- Kreile M, Piekuse L, Rots D, Dobele Z, Kovalova Z, Lace B. Analysis of possible genetic risk factors contributing to development of childhood acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in the Latvian population. Arch Med Sci 2016; 12: 479-85.
- 3. Grotenhuis AJ, Dudek AM, Verhaegh GW, et al. Independent replication of published germline polymorphisms associated with urinary bladder cancer prognosis and treatment response. Bladder Cancer 2016; 2: 77-89.
- 4. Orr N, Dudbridge F, Dryden N, et al. Fine-mapping identifies two additional breast cancer susceptibility loci at 9q31.2. Hum Mol Genet 2015; 24: 2966-84.
- 5. Cai Q, Zhang B, Sung H, et al. Genome-wide association analysis in East Asians identifies breast cancer susceptibility loci at 1q32.1, 5q14.3 and 15q26.1. Nat Genet 2014; 46: 886-90.
- 6. Hunter DJ, Kraft P, Jacobs KB, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies alleles in FGFR2 associated with risk of sporadic postmenopausal breast cancer. Nat Genet 2007; 39: 870-4.
- Gaudet MM, Kirchhoff T, Green T, et al. Common genetic variants and modification of penetrance of BRCA2-associated breast cancer. PLoS Genet 2010; 6: e1001183.
- 8. Zhai C, Li S, Feng W, et al. Association of interleukin-17a rs2275913 gene polymorphism and asthma risk: a metaanalysis. Arch Med Sci 2018; 14: 1204-11.
- 9. Hao JQ, Zhang QK, Zhou YX, Chen LH, Wu PF. Association between circulating leptin concentration and G-2548A gene polymorphism in patients with breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 275-83.
- 10. Zara-Lopes T, Galbiatti-Dias AL, Castanhole-Nunes MM, et al. Polymorphisms in MTHFR, MTR, RFC1 and CssS genes involved in folate metabolism and thyroid cancer: a case-control study. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 522-30.
- 11. Sokouti M, Sadeghi R, Pashazadeh S, Abadi SEH, Ghojazadeh M, Sokouti B. A systematic review and metaanalysis on the treatment of liver hydatid cyst using meta-MUMS tool: comparing PAIR and laparoscopic procedures. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 284-308.
- 12. Belohlavkova P, Vrbacky F, Voglova J, et al. The significance of enzyme and transporter polymorphisms for imatinib plasma levels and achieving an optimal response in chronic myeloid leukemia patients. Arch Med Sci 2018; 14: 1416-23.
- 13. Ma K, Li L, Liu C, Zhou L, Zhou X. Efficacy and safety of various anti-rheumatic treatments for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a network meta-analysis. Arch Med Sci 2019; 15: 33-54.
- 14. Ponting CP, Oliver PL, Reik W. Evolution and functions of long noncoding RNAs. Cell 2009; 136: 629-41.

- 15. Shi X, Sun M, Liu H, Yao Y, Song Y. Long non-coding RNAs: a new frontier in the study of human diseases. Cancer Lett 2013; 339: 159-66.
- 16. Huarte M. The emerging role of lncRNAs in cancer. Nat Med 2015; 21: 1253-61.
- 17. Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature 2010; 464: 1071-6.
- Zhang X, Zhou L, Fu G, et al. The identification of an ESCC susceptibility SNP rs920778 that regulates the expression of lncRNA HOTAIR via a novel intronic enhancer. Carcinogenesis 2014; 35: 2062-7.
- Bayram S, Ulger Y, Sumbul AT, et al. A functional HOTAIR rs920778 polymorphism does not contributes to gastric cancer in a Turkish population: a case-control study. Fam Cancer 2015; 14: 561-7.
- Pan W, Liu L, Wei J, et al. A functional lncRNA HOTAIR genetic variant contributes to gastric cancer susceptibility. Mol Carcinog 2016; 55: 90-6.
- Gong WJ, Yin JY, Li XP, et al. Association of well-characterized lung cancer lncRNA polymorphisms with lung cancer susceptibility and platinum-based chemotherapy response. Tumour Biol 2016; 37: 8349-58.
- 22. Xue Y, Gu D, Ma G, et al. Genetic variants in lncRNA HOTAIR are associated with risk of colorectal cancer. Mutagenesis 2015; 30: 303-10.
- 23. Hassanzarei S, Hashemi M, Sattarifard H, Hashemi SM, Bahari G, Ghavami S. Genetic polymorphisms of HOTAIR gene are associated with the risk of breast cancer in a sample of southeast Iranian population. Tumour Biol 2017; 39: 1010428317727539.
- 24. Lin Y, Guo W, Li N, Fu F, Lin S, Wang C. Polymorphisms of long non-coding RNA HOTAIR with breast cancer susceptibility and clinical outcomes for a southeast Chinese Han population. Oncotarget 2018; 9: 3677-89.
- 25. Yan R, Cao J, Song C, et al. Polymorphisms in lncRNA HOTAIR and susceptibility to breast cancer in a Chinese population. Cancer Epidemiol 2015; 39: 978-85.
- 26. Bayram S, Sumbul AT, Batmaci CY, Genc A. Effect of HOTAIR rs920778 polymorphism on breast cancer susceptibility and clinicopathologic features in a Turkish population. Tumour Biol 2015; 36: 3863-70.
- 27. Lv Z, Xu Q and Yuan Y. A systematic review and metaanalysis of the association between long non-coding RNA polymorphisms and cancer risk. Mutat Res 2017; 771: 1-14.
- Li J, Cui Z, Li H, et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR polymorphism and susceptibility to cancer: an updated metaanalysis. Environ Health Prev Med 2018; 23: 8.
- 29. Qi Q, Wang J, Huang B, Chen A, Li G, Li X. Association of HOTAIR polymorphisms rs4759314 and rs920778 with cancer susceptibility on the basis of ethnicity and cancer type. Oncotarget 2016; 7: 38775-84.
- Zhang ZX, Tong X, Zhang WN, Fu WN. Association between the HOTAIR polymorphisms and cancer risk: an updated meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 4460-70.
- 31. Chu H, Chen Y, Yuan Q, et al. The HOTAIR, PRNCR1 and POLR2E polymorphisms are associated with cancer risk: a meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 43271-83.
- 32. Liu X, Duan Q, Zhang J. Quantitative assessment of lncRNA HOTAIR polymorphisms and cancer risk in Chinese population: a meta-analysis based on 26,810 subjects. Oncotarget 2017; 8: 59698-708.
- 33. Tian T, Li C, Xiao J, et al. Quantitative assessment of the polymorphisms in the HOTAIR IncRNA and cancer risk: a meta-analysis of 8 case-control studies. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0152296.

34. Li J, Liu R, Tang S, et al. The effect of long noncoding RNAs HOX transcript antisense intergenic RNA single-nucleotide polymorphisms on breast cancer, cervical cancer, and ovarian cancer susceptibility: a meta-analysis. J Cell Biochem 2018. doi: 10.1002/jcb.27975.